

A link between two elliptic quantum groups

Pavel Etingof and Olivier Schiffmann

February 8, 2008

Abstract

We consider the category $\mathcal{C}_B$ of meromorphic finite-dimensional representations of the quantum elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}$ constructed via Belavin's R-matrix, and the category $\mathcal{C}_F$ of meromorphic finite-dimensional representations of Felder's elliptic quantum group $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \frac{\gamma}{2}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. For any fixed $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we use a version of the Vertex-IRF correspondence to construct two families of (generically) fully faithful functors $\mathcal{H}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ and $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ where $\mathcal{D}_B$ is a certain category of infinite-dimensional representations of $\mathcal{B}$ by difference operators. We use this to construct an equivalence between the abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_B$ generated by tensor products of vector representations and the abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_F$ generated by tensor products of vector representations.

1 Categories of meromorphic representations

In this section, we recall the definitions of various categories of representations of quantum elliptic algebras.

Notations: let us fix $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \geq 2$. Denote by $(v_i)_{i=1}^n$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and by $(E_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ the canonical basis of $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, i.e. $E_{ij}v_k = \delta_{jk}v_i$. Let $\mathfrak{h} = \{\sum_i \lambda_i E_{ii} \mid \sum_i \lambda_i = 0\}$ be the space of diagonal traceless matrices. We have a natural identification $\mathfrak{h}^* = \{\sum_i \lambda_i E_{ii}^* \mid \sum_i \lambda_i = 0\}$. In particular, the weight of v_i is $\omega_i = E_{ii}^* - \frac{1}{n} \sum_k E_{kk}^*$.

Classical theta functions: the theta function $\theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t; \tau)$ with characteristics $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by the formula

$$\theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t; \tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi(m+\kappa)((m+\kappa)\tau + 2(t+\kappa'))}.$$

It is an entire function whose zeros are simple and form the (shifted) lattice $\{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa + (\frac{1}{2} - \kappa')\tau\} + \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$.

Theta functions satisfy (and are characterized up to renormalization by) the following fundamental monodromy relations

$$\theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t+1; \tau) = e^{2i\pi\kappa} \theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t; \tau), \quad (1)$$

$$\theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t+\tau; \tau) = e^{-i\pi\tau - 2i\pi(t+\kappa')} \theta_{\kappa, \kappa'}(t; \tau). \quad (2)$$

Theta functions with different characteristics are related to each other by shifts of t :

$$\theta_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2, \kappa'_1+\kappa'_2}(t; \tau) = e^{i\pi\kappa_2^2\tau+2i\pi\kappa_2(t+\kappa'_1+\kappa'_2)}\theta_{\kappa_1, \kappa'_1}(t+\kappa_2\tau+\kappa'_2; \tau). \quad (3)$$

In particular, we set $\theta(t) = \theta_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(t; \tau)$.

1.1 Meromorphic representations of the Belavin quantum elliptic algebra

Consider the two $n \times n$ matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \xi^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\xi = e^{2i\pi/n}$. We have $A^n = B^n = Id$, $BA = \xi AB$, i.e A, B generate the Heisenberg group. Belavin ([2]) introduced the matrix $R^B(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, uniquely determined by the following properties:

1. Unitarity: $R^B(z)R_{21}^B(-z) = 1$,
2. $R^B(z)$ is meromorphic, with simple poles at $z = \gamma + \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$,
3. $R^B(0) = P : x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (permutation),
4. Lattice translation properties:

$$\begin{aligned} R^B(z+1) &= A_1 R^B(z) A_1^{-1} = A_2^{-1} R^B(z) A_2, \\ R^B(z+\tau) &= e^{-2i\pi \frac{n-1}{n} \gamma} B_1 R^B(z) B_1^{-1} = e^{-2i\pi \frac{n-1}{n} \gamma} B_2^{-1} R^B(z) B_2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $R^B(z)$ commutes with $A \otimes A$ and $B \otimes B$. The matrix $R^B(z)$ satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation with spectral parameters:

$$R_{12}^B(z-w)R_{13}^B(z)R_{23}^B(w) = R_{23}^B(w)R_{13}^B(z)R_{12}^B(z-w).$$

The category $\mathcal{C}_B$: following Faddeev, Reshetikhin, Takhtajan and Semenov-Tian-Shansky, one can define an algebra $\mathcal{B}$ from $R^B(z)$, using the RLL formalism-see [3], [10]. However, we will only need to consider a certain category of modules over this algebra, defined as follows.

Let $\mathcal{C}_B$ be the category whose objects are pairs $(V, L(z))$ where V is a finite dimensional vector space and $L(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(V)$ is an invertible meromorphic function (the L-operator) such that $L(z+n) = L(z)$ and $L(z+n\tau) = L(z)$, satisfying the following relation in the space $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(V) \otimes \text{End}(V)$:

$$R_{12}^B(z-w)L_{13}(z)L_{23}(w) = L_{23}(w)L_{13}(z)R_{12}^B(z-w) \quad (4)$$

(as meromorphic functions of z and w); morphisms $(V, L(z)) \rightarrow (V', L'(z))$ are linear maps $\varphi : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $(1 \otimes \varphi)L(z) = L'(z)(1 \otimes \varphi)$ in the space $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V, \mathbb{C}^n \otimes V')$. The quantum Yang-Baxter relation for R^B implies that

$(\mathbb{C}^n, \chi(z)R^B(z-w)) \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$, where we set $\chi(z) = \frac{\theta(z - (1 - \frac{1}{n})\gamma)}{\theta(z)}$. This object is called the vector representation and will be denoted simply by $V_B(w)$.

The category $\mathcal{C}_B$ is naturally a tensor category with tensor product

$$(V, L(z)) \otimes (V', L'(z)) = (V \otimes V', L_{12}(z)L'_{13}(z)) \quad (5)$$

at the level of objects and with the usual tensor product at the level of morphisms.

There is a notion of a dual representation in the category $\mathcal{C}_B$: the (right) dual of $(V, L(z))$ is $(V^*, L^*(z))$ where $L^*(z) = L^{-1}(z)^{t_2}$ (first apply inversion, then apply the transposition in the second component t_2). If $V, W \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V, W)$ then $\varphi^t \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(W^*, V^*)$.

We will also need an extended category $\mathcal{C}_B^x$ defined as follows: objects of $\mathcal{C}_B^x$ are objects of $\mathcal{C}_B$ but we set

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B^x}(V, V') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V, V') \otimes M_{\mathbb{C}}$$

where $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the field of meromorphic functions of a complex variable x . In other words, morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_B^x$ are meromorphic 1-parameter families of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_B$.

The category $\mathcal{D}_B$: We now define a difference-operator variant of the categories $\mathcal{C}_B, \mathcal{C}_B^x$. Let us denote by $M_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ the field of $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic meromorphic functions $\mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and by $D_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ the $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by $M_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ and shift operators $T_\mu : M_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{h}^*}, f(\lambda) \mapsto f(\lambda + \mu)$ for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. If V is a finite-dimensional vector space, we set $V_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = M_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$, and $D(V) = D_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \otimes \text{End}(V)$. Let $\mathcal{D}_B$ be the category whose objects are pairs $(V, L(z))$ where V is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space and $L(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes D(V)$ is an invertible operator with meromorphic coefficients satisfying (4) in $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes D(V) \otimes D(V)$; morphisms $(V, L(z)) \rightarrow (V', L'(z))$ are $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic meromorphic functions $\varphi : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V')$ such that $(1 \otimes \varphi)L(z) = L'(z)(1 \otimes \varphi)$ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_{\mathfrak{h}^*}, \mathbb{C}^n \otimes V'_{\mathfrak{h}^*})$ (i.e morphisms are $M_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ -linear).

The category $\mathcal{D}_B$ is a right-module category over $\mathcal{C}_B$, i.e we have a (bi)functor $\otimes : \mathcal{D}_B \times \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ defined by (5).

The category $\mathcal{D}_B^x$ is defined in an analogous way: objects are pairs $(V, L(z, x))$ as in $\mathcal{D}_B$ but the L-operator is now a meromorphic function of z and x , and morphisms $(V, L(z, x)) \rightarrow (V', L'(z, x))$ are meromorphic maps $\varphi(\lambda, x) : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, V')$ satisfying $(1 \otimes \varphi)L(z, x) = L'(z, x)(1 \otimes \varphi)$.

1.2 Meromorphic representations of the elliptic quantum group $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \gamma/2}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$

Felder's dynamical R-matrix: let us consider the functions of two complex variables

$$\alpha(z, l) = \frac{\theta(l + \gamma)\theta(z)}{\theta(l)\theta(z - \gamma)}, \quad \beta(z, l) = \frac{\theta(z - l)\theta(\gamma)}{\theta(l)\theta(z - \gamma)}.$$

As functions of z , α and β have simple poles at $z = \gamma + \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(z+1, l) &= \alpha(z, l), & \alpha(z+\tau, l) &= e^{-2i\pi\gamma}\alpha(z, l), \\ \beta(z+1, l) &= \beta(z, l), & \beta(z+\tau, l) &= e^{-2i\pi(\gamma-l)}\beta(z, l).\end{aligned}$$

Felder introduced in [4] the matrix $R^F(z, \lambda) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$:

$$R^F(z, \lambda) = \sum_i E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + \sum_{i \neq j} \beta(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) E_{ji} \otimes E_{ij}$$

where $\lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i E_{ii}^* \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

This matrix is a solution of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation with spectral parameters

$$\begin{aligned}R_{12}^F(z-w, \lambda - \gamma h_3) R_{13}^F(z, \lambda) R_{23}^F(w, \lambda - \gamma h_1) \\ = R_{23}^F(w, \lambda) R_{13}^F(z, \lambda - \gamma h_2) R_{12}^F(z-w, \lambda)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following convention: if V_i are diagonalizable $\mathfrak{h}$ -modules with weight decomposition $V_i = \bigoplus_{\mu} V_i^{\mu}$ and $a(\lambda) \in \text{End}(\bigotimes_i V_i)$ then

$$a(\lambda - \gamma h_l)|_{\bigotimes_i V_i^{\mu_i}} = a(\lambda - \gamma \mu_l)$$

As usual, indices indicate the components of the tensor product on which the operators act.

In addition, $R(z, \lambda)$ satisfies the following two conditions:

1. Unitarity: $R_{12}(z, \lambda) R_{21}(-z, \lambda) = Id$,
2. Weight zero: $\forall h \in \mathfrak{h}, [h^{(1)} + h^{(2)}, R(z, \lambda)] = 0$.

The category $\mathcal{C}_F$: It is possible to use $R(z, \lambda)$ to define an algebra by the RLL-formalism (see [4]): the elliptic quantum group $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \gamma/2}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$. However, we will only need the following category of its representations $\mathcal{C}_F$, introduced by Felder in [4] and studied by Felder and Varchenko in [5]: objects are pairs $(V, L(z, \lambda))$ where V is a finite-dimensional diagonalizable $\mathfrak{h}$ -module and $L(z, \lambda) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(V)$ is an invertible meromorphic function which is $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic in λ and which satisfies the following two conditions:

$$[h_1 + h_2, L(z, \lambda)] = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}R_{12}(z-w, \lambda - \gamma h_3) L_{13}(z, \lambda) L_{23}(w, \lambda - \gamma h_1) \\ = L_{23}(w, \lambda) L_{13}(z, \lambda - \gamma h_2) R_{12}(z-w, \lambda)\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Morphisms $(V, L(z, \lambda)) \rightarrow (V', L'(z, \lambda))$ are $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic meromorphic weight zero maps $\varphi(\lambda) : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $L'(z, \lambda)(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda - \gamma h_1)) = (1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda))L(z, \lambda)$. The dynamical quantum Yang-Baxter relation for $R^F(z, \lambda)$ implies that $(\mathbb{C}^n, R^F(z-w, \lambda)) \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$. This is the vector representation and it will be denoted by $V_F(w)$.

The category $\mathcal{C}_F$ is naturally equipped with a tensor structure: it is defined on objects by

$$(V, L(z, \lambda)) \otimes (V', L'(z, \lambda)) = (V \otimes V', L_{12}(z, \lambda - \gamma h_3) L'_{13}(z, \lambda)),$$

and if $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V, W)$, $\varphi' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V', W')$ then

$$(\varphi \otimes \varphi')(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda - \gamma h_2) \otimes \varphi'(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V \otimes V', W \otimes W').$$

There is a notion of a dual representation in the category $\mathcal{C}_F$: the (right) dual of $(V, L(z, \lambda))$ is $(V^*, L^*(z, \lambda))$ where $L^*(z, \lambda) = L^{-1}(z, \lambda + \gamma h_2)^{t_2}$ (apply inversion, shifting and then apply the transposition in the second component t_2). If $V, W \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$ and $\varphi(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V, W)$ then $\varphi^*(\lambda) := \varphi(\lambda + \gamma h_1)^t \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(W^*, V^*)$.

The extended category $\mathcal{C}_F^x$ is defined by $\mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F^x) = \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$ and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F^x}(V, V') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V, V') \otimes M_{\mathbb{C}}$$

i.e morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_F^x$ are meromorphic 1-parameter families of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_F$.

2 The functor $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$

In this section, we define a family of functors from meromorphic (finite-dimensional) representations of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \frac{\gamma}{2}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ to infinite-dimensional representations of the quantum elliptic algebra $\mathcal{B}$.

2.1 Twists by difference operators:

For any finite-dimensional diagonalizable $\mathfrak{h}$ -module V , let $e^{\gamma D} \in \text{End}(V_{\mathfrak{h}^*})$ denote the shift operator: $e^{\gamma D} \cdot \sum_{\mu} f_{\mu}(\lambda) v_{\mu} = \sum_{\mu} f(\lambda + \gamma \mu) v_{\mu}$, $v_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}$. Now let $(V, L(z, \lambda)) \in \mathcal{C}_F$, and let $S(z, \lambda), S'(z, \lambda) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be meromorphic and nondegenerate. Define the difference-twist of $(V, L(z, \lambda))$ to be the pair $(V, L^{S, S'}(z))$ where

$$L^{S, S'}(z) = S_1(z, \lambda - \gamma h_2) L(z, \lambda) e^{-\gamma D_1} S'_1(z, \lambda)^{-1} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes D(V). \quad (7)$$

This is a difference operator acting on $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$.

Lemma 1 *The difference operator $L^S(z, \lambda)$ satisfies the following relation in $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes D(V) \otimes D(V)$:*

$$T_{12}(z, w, \lambda - \gamma h_3) L_{13}^{S, S'}(z) L_{23}^{S, S'}(w) = L_{23}^{S, S'}(w) L_{13}^{S, S'}(z) T'_{12}(z, w, \lambda)$$

where

$$T(z, w, \lambda) = S_2(w, \lambda) S_1(z, \lambda - \gamma h_2) R_{12}^F(z - w, \lambda) S_2(w, \lambda - \gamma h_1)^{-1} S_1(z, \lambda)^{-1} \quad (8)$$

$$T'(z, w, \lambda) = S'_1(z, \lambda) S'_2(w, \lambda + \gamma h_1) R_{12}^F(z - w, \lambda) S'_1(z, \lambda + \gamma h_1)^{-1} S'_2(w, \lambda)^{-1} \quad (9)$$

Proof: the proof is straightforward, using relation (6) for $L(z, \lambda)$ and the weight zero property of $R^F(u, \lambda)$ and $L(u, \lambda)$. $\square$

2.2 The Vertex-IRF transform

Let $\phi_l(u) = e^{2i\pi(\frac{l\tau}{n} + \frac{lu}{n})}\theta_{0,0}(u + l\tau; n\tau)$ for $l = 1, \dots, n$. Then the vector $\Phi(u) = (\phi_1(u), \dots, \phi_n(u))$ is, up to renormalization, the unique holomorphic vector in $\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying the following monodromy relations:

$$\Phi(u+1) = A\Phi(u), \quad (10)$$

$$\Phi(u+\tau) = e^{-i\pi\frac{\tau}{n} - 2i\pi\frac{u}{n}}B\Phi(u) \quad (11)$$

Now let $S(z, \lambda) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the matrix whose columns are $(\Phi_1(z, \lambda), \dots, \Phi_n(z, \lambda))$ where $\Phi_j(z, \lambda) = \Phi(z - n\lambda_j)$. Using (10)-(11), it is easy to see that we have $\det(S(z, \lambda)) = \text{Const}(\lambda)\theta(z)$ and hence that $S(z, \lambda)$ is invertible for $z \neq 0$ and generic λ .

Lemma 2 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} R^B(z-w)S_1(z, \lambda)S_2(w, \lambda - \gamma h_1) &= S_2(w, \lambda)S_1(z, \lambda - \gamma h_2)R^F(z-w, \lambda) \\ R^B(z-w)S_2(w, \lambda)S_1(z, \lambda + \gamma h_2) &= S_1(z, \lambda)S_2(w, \lambda + \gamma h_1)R^F(z-w, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: the first relation is equivalent to the following identities for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\begin{aligned} R^B(z-w)\Phi_i(z, \lambda) \otimes \Phi_i(w, \lambda - \gamma\omega_i) &= \Phi_i(z, \lambda - \gamma\omega_i) \otimes \Phi_i(w, \lambda) \\ R^B(z-w)\Phi_i(z, \lambda) \otimes \Phi_j(w, \lambda - \gamma\omega_i) &= \alpha(z-w, \lambda_i - \lambda_j)\Phi_i(z, \lambda - \gamma\omega_j) \otimes \Phi_j(w, \lambda) \\ &\quad + \beta(z-w, \lambda_i - \lambda_j)\Phi_j(z, \lambda - \gamma\omega_i) \otimes \Phi_i(w, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

These identities are proved by comparing poles and transformation properties under lattice translations as functions of z and w , and using the uniqueness of Φ . The second relation of the lemma is proved in the same way. These identities are essentially the Vertex/Interaction-Round-a-Face transform of statistical mechanics (see [8],[9] and [6] for the case $n = 2$). $\square$

2.3 Construction of the functor $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$

Let us fix some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. We can now define the family of functors $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_B$ indexed by $x \in \mathbb{C}$: for $(V, L(z, \lambda)) \in \mathcal{C}_F$, set $\mathcal{F}_x^c((V, L(z, \lambda))) = (V, L^{S_x, S_{x+c}}(z))$ with $S_u(z, \lambda) = S(z-u, \lambda)$ as above and let $\mathcal{F}_x^c$ be trivial at the level of morphisms.

Proposition 1 $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ is a functor.

Proof: it follows from Lemma 2 that $(V, L^{S_x, S_{x+c}}(z)) \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{D}_B)$. Furthermore, if $\varphi(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}((V, L(z, \lambda)), (V', L'(z, \lambda)))$ then by definition we have $L'(z, \lambda) = (1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda - \gamma h_1))L(z, \lambda)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(z-x, \lambda - \gamma h_2)L'(z, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda)) \\ &= S_1(z-x, \lambda - \gamma h_2)L'(z, \lambda)(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda - \gamma h_1))e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= S_1(z-x, \lambda - \gamma h_2)(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda))L'(z, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= (1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda))S_1(z-x, \lambda - \gamma h_2)L'(z, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi(\lambda)$ is of weight zero. Thus $\mathcal{F}_x^c(\varphi(\lambda))$ is an intertwiner in the category $\mathcal{D}_B$. $\square$

We can also think of the family of functors $\mathcal{F}_x^c$ as a single functor $\mathcal{F}^c : \mathcal{C}_F^x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B^x$.

Remark: we can think of the difference-twist and the relations in Lemma 2 as a dynamical analogue of the notion of equivalence of R-matrices due to Drinfeld and Belavin-see [1].

3 The image of the trivial representation and the functor $\mathcal{H}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$

Applying the functor $\mathcal{F}_x^c$ to the trivial representation $(\mathbb{C}, \text{Id}) \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$ yields

$$\mathcal{F}_x^c((\mathbb{C}, \text{Id})) = (\mathbb{C}, S(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1} S(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}).$$

We will denote this object by I_x^c . For instance, when $n = 2$, we obtain a representation of the Belavin quantum elliptic algebra as difference operators acting on the space of periodic meromorphic functions in one variable λ , i.e given by an L-operator

$$L(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & b(z) \\ c(z) & d(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a(z), b(z), c(z), d(z)$ are operators of the form $f(z)T_{-\gamma} + g(z)$ where $T_{-\gamma}$ is the shift by $-\gamma$.

Such representations of $\mathcal{B}$ by difference operators already appeared in the work of Krichever, Zabrodin ([9]) (for $n = 2$) and Hasegawa ([7],[8]) (for the general case), where they were also derived by some Vertex-IRF correspondence.

Definition: Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ be the functor defined by the assignment $V \rightarrow I_x^c \otimes V$ and which is trivial at the level of morphisms. The family of functors $\mathcal{H}_x^c$ gives rise to a functor $\mathcal{H}^c : \mathcal{C}_B^x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B^x$.

4 Full Faithfulness of the functor $\mathcal{H}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$

In this section, we prove the following result

Proposition 2 *Let $V, V' \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$. Then for all but finitely many values of $x \bmod \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$, the map*

$$\mathcal{H}_x^c : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V, V') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(\mathcal{H}_x^c(V), \mathcal{H}_x^c(V'))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof: since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V, V') \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(\mathbb{C}, V' \otimes V^*)$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(I_x^c \otimes V, I_x^c \otimes V') \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(I_x^c, I_x^c \otimes V' \otimes V^*)$, it is enough to show that the map $\mathcal{H}_x^c : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(\mathbb{C}, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(I_x^c, I_x^c \otimes W)$ is an isomorphism for all $W \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$. Since $\mathcal{H}_x^c$ is trivial at the level of morphisms, this map is injective. Now let $W \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$ and

let $\varphi(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(I_x^c, I_x^c \otimes W)$, that is, $\varphi(\lambda)$ is a $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic meromorphic function $\mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow W$ satisfying the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(\lambda)S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \\ = S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}L_{12}(z)\varphi_2(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where $L(z)$ is the L-operator of W . This is equivalent to

$$L_{12}(z)\varphi_2(\lambda) = S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)\varphi_2(\lambda + \gamma h_1)S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \quad (12)$$

Now $L(z)$ is an elliptic function (of periods n and $n\tau$) so it is either constant or it has a pole. Restricting W to the subrepresentation $\text{Span}(\varphi(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*)$, we see that the latter case is impossible for generic x as the RHS of (12) has a pole at $z = x + c$ only; hence $L(z)$ is constant. Furthermore, from (12) we see that the matrix

$$M(\lambda) = S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}L_{12}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)$$

is independent of z . In particular, setting $z \mapsto z+1$ and using the transformation properties (10) of $S(z, \lambda)$, we obtain $[A_1, L_{12}] = 0$. This implies that $L = \sum_i E_{ii} \otimes D_i$ for some $D_i \in \text{End}(W)$.

Lemma 3 *Let U be a finite dimensional vector space, let $T \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(U)$ be an invertible solution of the equation*

$$R_{12}^B(z)T_{13}T_{23} = T_{23}T_{13}R_{12}^B(z) \quad (13)$$

such that $T = \sum_i E_{ii} \otimes D_i$ for some $D_i \in \text{End}(U)$. Then $[D_i, D_j] = 0$ for all i, j and there exists $X \in \text{End}(U)$ such that $X^n = 1$ and $D_{i+1} = XD_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof: let us write $R^B(z) = \sum_{p,q,r,s} R_{p,q,r,s}(z)E_{pq} \otimes E_{rs}$. Then equation (13) is equivalent to $R_{p,q,r,s}(z)D_pD_q = R_{p,q,r,s}(z)D_sD_r$ for all p, q, r, s . But it follows from the general formula for $R^B(z)$ that $R_{p,q,r,s}(z) \neq 0$ if and only if $p+q \equiv r+s \pmod{n}$. Thus we have $[D_i, D_j] = 0$ for all i, j and $X := D_iD_{i+1}^{-1}$ is independent of i , and satisfies $X^n = 1$. $\square$

By the above lemma, there exists $X \in \text{End}(W)$ such that $X^n = 1$ and $D_{i+1} = XD_i$. Suppose that $X \neq 1$ and choose $e \in W$ such that $X(e) = \xi^k e$ with $\xi^k \neq 1$. Now we apply the transformation $z \mapsto z + \tau$ to the matrix $M(\lambda)$. Noting that, by (11), $S(z-x-c+\tau, \lambda) = e^{-i\pi\tau/2-2i\pi(z-x-c)/n}BS(z-x-c, \lambda)F(\lambda)$ where $F(\lambda) = \text{diag}(e^{-2i\pi\lambda}, \dots, e^{-2i\pi\lambda_n})$, we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda)^{-1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}B_1^{-1}L_{12}B_1S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)F(\lambda) \\ = S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}L_{12}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Applying this to the vector e yields $\text{Ad}F(\lambda)(M(\lambda))(e) = \xi^{-k}M(\lambda)(e)$. This is possible for all λ only if $k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Hence $X = 1$ and (12) reduces to the equation $D\varphi_2(\lambda) = \varphi_2(\lambda + \gamma h_1)$. In particular $\varphi(\lambda)$ is $\gamma(\omega_i - \omega_j)$ -periodic. But by our assumption, $\varphi(\lambda)$ is $(n\omega_i)$ -periodic and γ is real and irrational. Therefore $\varphi(\lambda)$ is constant and it is a morphism in the category $\mathcal{C}_B$. $\square$

Now, considering x as a parameter, we obtain:

Corollary 1 *The functor $\mathcal{H}^c : \mathcal{C}_B^x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B^x$ is fully faithful.*

Remark: equation (12) shows that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(I_x^c, I_x^c \otimes V) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V^*, I_{x+c}^0)$. Thus the above proposition states that for any finite-dimensional representation $V \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$ and for all but finitely many $x \bmod \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(V^*, I_x^0) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_B}(V^*, \mathbb{C})$, where the isomorphism is induced by the embedding $\mathbb{C} \subset I_x^0$ (constant functions). However, for finitely many values of $x \bmod$

$\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$, this may not be true: see [9] and [8] where some finite-dimensional subrepresentations of I_x^0 are considered.

5 Full faithfulness of the functor $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$

In this section, we prove the following result:

Proposition 3 *The functor $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ is fully faithful.*

Proof: we have to show that for any two objects V, V' in $\mathcal{C}_F$ there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(V, V') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(\mathcal{F}_x^c(V), \mathcal{F}_x^c(V'))$. Since $\mathcal{F}_x^c$ is trivial at the level of morphisms, this map is injective. Now let $V, W \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$ and let $\varphi(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_B}(\mathcal{F}_x^c(V), \mathcal{F}_x^c(W))$. By definition, $\varphi(\lambda) : V \rightarrow W$ satisfies the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(\lambda) S_1(z-x, \lambda-\gamma h_2) L_{12}^V(z, \lambda) e^{-\gamma D_1} S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \\ = S_1(z-x, \lambda-\gamma h_2) L_{12}^W(z, \lambda) e^{-\gamma D_1} S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1} \varphi_2(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where $L^V(z, \lambda)$ (resp. $L^W(z, \lambda)$) is the L-operator of V (resp. W). This is equivalent to

$$\varphi_2(\lambda) S_1(z-x, \lambda-\gamma h_2) L_{12}^V(z, \lambda) = S_1(z-x, \lambda-\gamma h_2) L_{12}^W(z, \lambda) \varphi_2(\lambda-\gamma h_1) \quad (14)$$

Introduce the following notations: write $W = \bigoplus_{\xi} W_{\xi}$, $V = \bigoplus_{\mu} V_{\mu}$, $\varphi(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu}(\lambda)$ for the weight decompositions (so that $\varphi_{\nu} : V_{\xi} \rightarrow W_{\xi+\nu}$). Also let $S(z-x, \lambda) = \sum_{i,j} S^{ij}(z-x, \lambda) E_{ij}$, $L_{12}^V(z, \lambda) = \sum_{i,j} E_{ij} \otimes L_V^{ij}(z, \lambda)$ and use the same notation for $L^W(z, \lambda)$. Applying (14) to $v_i \otimes \zeta_{\mu}$ for some i and $\zeta_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k,\nu} S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j)) v_k \otimes \varphi_{\nu}(\lambda) (L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda) \zeta_{\mu}) \\ = \sum_{l,k,\sigma} S^{kl}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_l+\sigma)) v_k \otimes L_W^{li}(z, \lambda) \varphi_{\sigma}(\lambda-\gamma\omega_i) \zeta_{\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where we used the weight-zero property of $L^V(z, \lambda)$ and $L^W(z, \lambda)$. Applying v_k^* to (15) and projecting on the weight space $W_{\mu+\omega_i+\xi}$ gives the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\nu,j \\ \nu-\omega_j=\xi}} S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j)) \varphi_{\nu}(\lambda) (L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda) \zeta_{\mu}) \\ = \sum_{\substack{\sigma,l \\ \sigma-\omega_l=\xi}} S^{kl}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_l+\sigma)) L_W^{li}(z, \lambda) (\varphi_{\sigma}(\lambda-\gamma\omega_i) \zeta_{\mu}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for any i, k, ξ and $\zeta_\mu \in V_\mu$. Now let $A = \{\chi \mid \varphi_\chi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$. Fix some j and let $\beta \in A$ be an extremal weight in the direction $-\omega_j$ (i.e $\beta - \omega_j + \omega_k \notin A$ for $k \neq j$). Then (16) for $\xi = \beta - \omega_j$ reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j))\varphi_\beta(\lambda)(L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda)\zeta_\mu) \\ = S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j+\beta))L_W^{ji}(z, \lambda)\varphi_\beta(\lambda-\gamma\omega_i)\zeta_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Claim: there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, μ and $\zeta_\mu \in V_\mu$ such that $\varphi_\beta(\lambda)(L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda)\zeta_\mu) \neq 0$ for generic z and λ .

Proof: recall the central element $\text{Qdet}(z, \lambda) \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \frac{\gamma}{2}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. By definition, its action on V is invertible. Expanding $\text{Qdet}(z, \lambda)$ along the j^{th} -line, we have $\text{Qdet}(z, \lambda) = \sum_i L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda)P_i(z, \lambda)$ for some operators $P_i(z, \lambda) \in \text{End}(V)$. In particular, $\sum_i \text{Im } L_V^{ji}(z, \lambda) = V$, and the claim follows.

Thus, the ratio $S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j+\beta))/S^{kj}(z-x, \lambda-\gamma(\mu+\omega_i-\omega_j))$ is independent of k . This is possible only if $\beta \in \sum_{r \neq j} \mathbb{C}E_{rr}^*$. Applying this to $j = 1, \dots, n$, we see that $A = \{0\}$. Hence $\varphi(\lambda)$ is an $\mathfrak{h}$ -module map. But then relation (14) reduces to $\varphi_2(\lambda)L_{12}^V(z, \lambda) = L_{12}^W(z, \lambda)\varphi_2(\lambda-\gamma h_1)$, and $\varphi(\lambda)$ is an intertwiner in the category $\mathcal{C}_F$. $\square$

Corollary 2 *The functor $\mathcal{F}^c : \mathcal{C}_F^x \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B^x$ is fully faithful.*

6 The image of the vector representation

Let us denote $\tilde{V}_F(w) = (\mathbb{C}^n, \chi(w)R^F(w, \lambda))$. It is an object of $\mathcal{C}_F$ which equals the tensor product of the vector representation $V_F(w)$ by the one-dimensional representation $(\mathbb{C}, \chi(z))$.

Proposition 4 *For any x, w , $x+c \not\equiv w \pmod{\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}}$, we have $\mathcal{F}_x^c(V_F(w)) \simeq \mathcal{H}_x^c(V_B(w))$.*

Proof: by definition, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_x^c(\tilde{V}_F(w)) = (\mathbb{C}^n, \chi(z)S_1(z-x, \lambda-\gamma h_2)R^F(z-w, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1} \times S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}),$$

$$I_x^c \otimes V_B(w) = (\mathbb{C}^n, \chi(z)S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)R^B(z-w))$$

We claim that the map $\varphi(\lambda) = e^{-\gamma D}(S(w-x-c, \lambda)^{-1})e^{\gamma D} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is an intertwiner $\mathcal{H}_x^c(V_B(w)) \simeq I_x^c \otimes V_B(w) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_x^c(\tilde{V}_F(w))$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(z-x, \lambda-\gamma h_2)R^F(z-w, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}(1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda)) \\ = e^{-\gamma D_2}S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{\gamma D_2}R^F(z-w, \lambda)e^{-\gamma(D_1+D_2)}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda+\gamma h_2)^{-1}S_2(w-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}e^{\gamma D_2} \\ = e^{-\gamma D_2}S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}R^F(z-w, \lambda)S_1(z-x-c, \lambda+\gamma h_2)^{-1}S_2(w-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}e^{\gamma D_2} \\ = e^{-\gamma D_2}S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_2(w-x-c, \lambda+\gamma h_1)^{-1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}R^B(z-w)e^{\gamma D_2} \\ = e^{-\gamma D_2}S_1(z-x, \lambda)S_2(w-x-c, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}R^B(z-w)e^{\gamma D_2} \\ = (1 \otimes \varphi(\lambda))S_1(z-x, \lambda)e^{-\gamma D_1}S_1(z-x-c, \lambda)^{-1}R^B(z-w) \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2 and the zero-weight property of $R^F(u, \lambda)$. $\square$

Lemma 4 *Let $V, V' \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_F)$, $W, W' \in \mathcal{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_B)$ and suppose that $\mathcal{F}_x^c(V) \simeq \mathcal{H}_x^c(W)$ and $\mathcal{F}_x^c(V') \simeq \mathcal{H}_x^c(W')$. Then $\mathcal{F}_x^c(V \otimes V') \simeq \mathcal{H}_x^c(W \otimes W')$.*

Proof: If $\varphi(\lambda) : V \rightarrow W$ and $\varphi'(\lambda) : V' \rightarrow W'$ are intertwiners then it is easy to check using the methods above that $\varphi'_2(\lambda - \gamma h_1)\varphi_1(\lambda) : V \otimes V' \rightarrow W \otimes W'$ is an intertwiner. $\square$

Applying this to tensor products of the vector representations, we obtain

Corollary 3 *For any $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{x + c + \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}\}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_x^c(\tilde{V}_F(w_1) \otimes \dots \tilde{V}_F(w_r)) \simeq \mathcal{H}_x^c(V_B(w_1) \otimes \dots V_B(w_r)).$$

Corollary 4 *For any $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}^c(\tilde{V}_F(w_1) \otimes \dots \tilde{V}_F(w_r)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^c(V_B(w_1) \otimes \dots V_B(w_r)).$$

Notice that in this case, we have a canonical intertwiner, given by the formula

$$\varphi_{1\dots r}(\lambda, w_1, \dots, w_r) = \tilde{S}_r^{-1}(w_r - x - c, \lambda - \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} h_i) \dots \tilde{S}_1^{-1}(w_1 - x - c, \lambda),$$

where we set $\tilde{S}(z, \lambda) = e^{-\gamma D} S(z, \lambda) e^{\gamma D}$.

7 Equivalence of subcategories

Let us summarize the results of sections 4-8. By proposition 2, we can identify $\mathcal{C}_B^x$ with a full subcategory $\mathcal{D}_1^x$ of $\mathcal{D}_B^x$. By proposition 3, we can identify $\mathcal{C}_F^x$ with a full subcategory $\mathcal{D}_2^x$ of $\mathcal{D}_B^x$. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}_1^x$ and $\mathcal{D}_2^x$ intersect (at least if we replace $\mathcal{D}_B^x$ by the equivalent category $\widehat{\mathcal{D}_B^x}$ whose objects are isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathcal{D}_B^x$), and the intersection contains objects of the form $\mathcal{F}^c(\bigotimes_i V_F(w_i)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^c(\bigotimes_i V_B(w_i))$, where $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $w_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence,

Theorem 1 *The abelian subcategory $\mathcal{V}_B^x$ of $\mathcal{C}_B^x$ generated by objects $\bigotimes_i V_B(w_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and the abelian subcategory $\mathcal{V}_F^x$ of $\mathcal{C}_F^x$ generated by objects $\bigotimes_i V_F(w_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w_j \in \mathbb{C}$ are equivalent.*

Note that for numerical values of x , $\mathcal{F}_x^c : \mathcal{C}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ is always fully faithful, and $\mathcal{F}_x^c(\mathcal{C}_F)$ a full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_B$, but this is not true of $\mathcal{H}_x^c$, because of the existence of nontrivial finite-dimensional subrepresentations of I_x^0 .

Acknowledgments: the authors were supported by the NSF grant DMS-9700477. O.S would like to thank Harvard University Mathematics Department for its hospitality without which this work would not have been possible.

References

- [1] [BD] Belavin A.A, Drinfeld V.G, *Triangle equation and simple Lie algebras*, Soviet Sci. reviews, Sect C **4** 93-165 (1984).
- [2] [Bel] Belavin A. A. *Dynamical symmetries of integrable quantum systems*, Nucl. Phys. B, **180**, 189-200 (1981)
- [3] [FRT] Faddeev L., Reshetikhin N., Takhtadjan L., *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Algebraic Analysis, Vol **1** 129-139 Acad. Press (1988).
- [4] [F] Felder G., *Elliptic quantum groups*, preprint hep-th/9412207, to appear in the Proceedings of the ICMP, Paris 1994.
- [5] [FV1] Felder G., Varchenko A., *On representations of the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau,\eta}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **181** (1996), 746-762.
- [6] [FV2] Felder G., Varchenko A., *Algebraic Bethe Ansatz for the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau,\eta}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , preprint q-alg/9605024. Nuclear Physics B **480** (1996), 485-503.
- [7] [H1] Hasegawa K., *Crossing symmetry in elliptic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and a new L-operator for Belavin's solution*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26** (1993) 3211-3228.
- [8] [H2] Hasegawa K., *L-operator for Belavin's R-matrix acting on the space of theta functions*, J. Math. Phys. **35**(4) (1994) 6158-6171.
- [9] [KrZ] Krichever I., Zabrodin A., *Spin generalization of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model, non-abelian 2D Toda chain and representations of the Sklyanin algebra*, preprint hep-th/9505039.
- [10] [RS] Reshetikhin N.Y, Semenov-Tian-Shansky M.A, *Central extensions of quantum current groups*, Lett. Math. Phys. **19** (1990) 133-142.

Olivier Schiffmann, ENS Paris, 45 rue d'Ulm 75005 Paris, FRANCE

`schiffma@clipper.ens.fr`,

Pavel Etingof, Harvard Mathematics Dept., Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138 USA

`etingof@math.harvard.edu`